

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/844,379	04/27/2001	Alan H. Singleton	01-199	1570
22206	7590 12/10/2002			
FELLERS S	NIDER BLANKENSHII	EXAMINER		NER
BAILEY & T			STRICKLAND, JONAS N	
	DY BUILDING			
321 SOUTH BOSTON SUITE 800 TULSA, OK 74103-3318			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TULSA, OK	74103-3316	•	1754	
			DATE MAILED: 12/10/2002	7
				7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.		Applicant(s)
	09/844,379	SINGLETON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jonas N Strickland	1754
-		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 25 November 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 GFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
 a)
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: 89-108.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10. Other:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Advisory Action

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 USC 102(b) or 35 USC 103 rejection over Beuther et al. (USP 4493905).

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Comolli et al. (USP 5037791) discloses a cobalt metal deposited on a gamma-alumina support, which exhibits improved attrition loss and wherein the process includes an acidic treatment. While Comolli et al. teaches having a carbon layer, the instant claims are not limited to exclude a carbon layer. Furthermore, does not matter when the acidic treatment is performed within the process, as long as Comolli et al. teaches wherein an acidic treatment is used in the process for producing a gamma-alumina support having improved attrition loss as well as improved catalytic performance. The Applicant must come forward with evidence establishing an unobvious difference between the claimed product and the prior art product.

Mayne A. LANGEL
WAYNE A. LANGEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER